

Bringing Eigenvalues to the People

Jason Slemons

University of Washington

Department of Applied Mathematics

5 October 2006

Motivation

- Dynamical systems: $\mathbf{x}' = f(\mathbf{x})$ stability of equilibrium solutions at $f(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ are based on evals of the jacobian $(\frac{\partial f_j}{\partial x_i})$.
- Stability of linear operators and their spectra [3].
- Time evolution: $Ax_n = x_{n+1}$ in math bio, Markov chains and probability, Fibonacci sequence.
- ODE's: convert $\sum_i p_i(x)y^{(i)} = 0$ into $A\mathbf{y} = \lambda\mathbf{y}'$ use discrete eigenvalues of A for asymptotics.
- Quadratic eigenvalue problem from structural mechanics: [12, 11]. This problem leads to nonsymmetric tridiagonals.

Overview

- Definitions
- Eigenvalue Problem
 - Symmetric
 - Nonsymmetric
- Backward error
- Forward error

Definitions

- λ is an eigenvalue and v is an eigenvector for a matrix A : $Av = \lambda v$
- A is a symmetric matrix: $A = A^T$
- U is a unitary matrix: $U^{-1} = U^*$.
- T is a tridiagonal matrix:

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & a_1 & & & & & \\ c_1 & b_2 & \cdots & & & & \\ & \cdots & \cdots & a_i & & & \\ & & c_i & b_{i+1} & \cdots & & \\ & & & \cdots & \cdots & a_{n-1} & \\ & & & & c_{n-1} & b_n & \end{pmatrix} \quad (1)$$

Definitions

- A is an upper Hessenberg matrix:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & a_1 & * & * & * & * \\ c_1 & b_2 & \cdots & * & * & * \\ & \cdots & \cdots & a_i & * & * \\ & & c_i & b_{i+1} & \cdots & * \\ & & & \cdots & \cdots & a_{n-1} \\ & & & & c_{n-1} & b_n \end{pmatrix} \quad (2)$$

- $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. A is positive definite if: $x^T A x > 0$ whenever $x \neq 0$. (Matrix Computations, Golub and Van Loan)

The General Eigenvalue Problem

- Symmetric case: Well mined
 - Divide and Conquer [6]
 - Bisection [4]
 - QR [8], [7], [4]
 - The Grail [5], [2]
- Nonsymmetric case: Not as well mined
 - Divide and Conquer [1]
 - QR
 - XHR [10], [9]

Typical Solution to the General Eigenvalue Problem

- Similarity reduction of A to tridiagonal matrix T (A symmetric) or upper Hessenberg H (A nonsymmetric).

$$A = QTQ^T \quad (3)$$

$$A = QHQ^T \quad (4)$$

- Find eigenvectors and eigenvalues of the tridiagonal matrix T (upper Hessenberg matrix H if nonsymmetric) by reduction to diagonal matrix D or block upper triangular matrix R , by unitary matrices Q_i :

$$T = Q_1Q_2\dots Q_kDQ_k^T\dots Q_2^TQ_1^T \quad (5)$$

$$H = Q_1Q_2\dots Q_kRQ_k^T\dots Q_2^TQ_1^T \quad (6)$$

- Back transform eigenvectors of T or H to get those of the matrix A .

Eigenvalues of a Symmetric Matrix A

- State problem: $Av = \lambda v$
- Absolute accuracy: $|\lambda_i(A + \delta A) - \lambda_i(A)| \leq \|f(A)\|$
- An example:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (7)$$

$\lambda(A) = -1, 3$ exactly. Let $\delta A = 1000 * \epsilon_{mach} * A$. Then we solve $A + \delta A$.

$$A + \delta A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + 2.2 * 10^{-13} & 2 + 4.4 * 10^{-13} \\ 2 + 4.4 * 10^{-13} & 1 + 2 * 10^{-13} \end{pmatrix} \quad (8)$$

The larger eigenvalue $\lambda(A + \delta A) = 3(1 + 1000 * \epsilon_{mach})$, the smaller is $-1(1 + 1000 * \epsilon_{mach})$.

Eigenvalues of a Symmetric Matrix A

- In this case $f(A)$ was $\|\delta A\|$.

$$|\lambda_i(A) - \lambda_i(A + \delta A)| = 3 - 3(1 + 1000 * \epsilon_{mach}) \quad (9)$$

$$= 3000 * \epsilon_{mach} \quad (10)$$

$$= \|\delta A\| \quad (11)$$

- Weyl's Theorem states that $|\lambda_i(A) - \lambda_i(A + \delta A)| \leq \|\delta A\|$ for symmetric A.
- Relative accuracy would be $\frac{|\lambda_i(A) - \lambda_i(A + \delta A)|}{|\lambda_i(A)|} \leq \|\delta A\|$
- Relative accuracy is not always possible to achieve for small λ_i . But sometimes it is, even if the eval is 10^{-300} !.

Eigenvalues of a General Matrix A

- Accuracy results are not as good. If $A = SDS^{-1}$ with D diagonal and $A + E$ is a perturbation then(Bauer-Fike):

$$|\lambda(A) - \lambda(A + E)| \leq \|S\| \cdot \|S^{-1}\| \cdot \|E\| \quad (12)$$

- Condition of an eigenvalue is $\frac{1}{w^T v}$ where w is a left eigenvector, v is a right eigenvector: $w^T A = \lambda w^T$
- Lack of fast algorithms as well(unlike $O(n^2)$ algorithms for eigenvalues in the symmetric case). Due to upper Hessenberg form.(QR, orthogonal iteration)

Eigenvalues of a General Matrix A

Consider the following matrix:

$$A := \begin{pmatrix} \mu & b \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$$

and the different perturbations

$$E_1 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \varepsilon & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad E_2 := \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad E_3 := \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon \end{pmatrix}$$

We have $\|E_j\|_\infty = \varepsilon$. The eigenvalues λ_j, μ_j of $A + E_j$ are

$$\lambda_1, \mu_1 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\mu + \lambda \pm \sqrt{(\mu - \lambda)^2 + 4b\varepsilon} \right)$$

$$\mu_2 = \mu + \varepsilon, \quad \lambda_2 = \lambda$$

$$\mu_3 = \mu + \varepsilon, \quad \lambda_3 = \lambda + \varepsilon$$

Backward error

- Backwards stability means that computed \hat{L} and \hat{U} solve a nearby problem ($L * U = A + \epsilon A$)
- theoretically LU has backward error:

$$\hat{L}\hat{U} = A + \delta A \quad (13)$$

$$\frac{\|\delta A\|}{\|L\|\|U\|} \leq O(e_{mach}) \quad (14)$$

- LU with pivoting has backward error:

$$\hat{L}\hat{U} = A + \delta A \quad (15)$$

$$\frac{\|\delta A\|}{\|A\|} \leq O(\rho e_{mach}) \quad (16)$$

where ρ is the growth factor that is bounded by 2^{n-1} .

(`matlab:lubacktest?`)

Forward error

- Forward error is the error between the exact solution L, U and the computed solution, \hat{L}, \hat{U} .
- go through the accumulation of errors and hope you get an answer.
- its hard to calculate because it depends on the condition of the problem, usually a global thing.
- what about Gaussian Elimination?
- matlab:lufortest

Bibliography

References

- [1] L. ADAMS AND P. ARBENZ, *Towards a divide and conquer algorithm for the real nonsymmetric eigenvalue problem*, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl., 15 (1994), pp. 1333–1353.
- [2] P. BIENTINESI, I. S. DHILLON, AND R. A. VAN DE GEIJN, *A parallel eigensolver for dense symmetric matrices based on multiple relatively robust representations*, SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing, 27 (2005), pp. 43–66.
- [3] B. DECONINCK AND N. KUTZ, *Computing spectra of linear operators using hill's method*. To appear in J. Comp. Physics, 2006.
- [4] J. DEMMEL, *Applied Numerical Linear Algebra*, SIAM, Philadelphia, 1997.

- [5] I. DHILLON, *A new $O(n^2)$ Algorithm for computing the symmetric tridiagonal eigenvalue/eigenvector problem*, PhD thesis, U.C. Berkeley, 1997.
- [6] J. J. DONGARRA AND D. C. SORENSON, *A fully parallel algorithm for the symmetric eigenvalue problem*, SIAM J. Sci. Stat. Comp., 8 (1987), pp. 139–153.
- [7] J. G. F. FRANCIS, *The QR transformation parts 1, 2*, The Computer Journal, 4 (1961), pp. 265–271 and 332–345.
- [8] V. N. KUBLANOVSKAYA, *On some algorithms for the solution of the complete eigenvalue problem*, USSR Computational Mathematics and Physics, 3 (1961), pp. 637–657.
- [9] Z.-S. LIU, *On the extended HR algorithm*, tech. report, Center for Pure and Applied Mathematics, U.C. Berkeley, 1992.
- [10] O. MARQUES, B. PARLETT, AND Z.-S. LIU, *Numerical experiments with XHR*. From personal communication with the authors.

- [11] F. TISSEUR, D. BINI, AND L. GEMIGNANI, *The ehrlich-aberth method for the nonsymmetric tridiagonal eigenvalue problem*, SIAM J. Matrix. Anal. Appl., 27 (2005), pp. 153–175.
- [12] F. TISSEUR AND K. MEERBERGEN, *The quadratic eigenvalue problem*, SIAM Rev., 43 (2001), pp. 235–286.